

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

COPPER SANDS HOMEOWNERS  
ASSOCIATION, INC., et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

COPPER SANDS REALTY, LLC, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:10-cv-00510-GMN-NJK

ORDER RE: DOCKET NO. 643

On October 21, 2013, the Court issued an order setting a briefing schedule for Defendant's filing of a renewed motion to set aside Plaintiffs' assertion of privilege. Docket No. 640. As part of that order, the Court indicated that "Plaintiffs shall file a response to that renewed motion, no later than October 29, 2013." *Id.* at 2. Although Defendant filed its renewed motion, Docket No. 643, Plaintiffs failed to timely file a response in accordance with the Court's order.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs are hereby **ORDERED** to file their response no later than noon on November 1, 2013. The failure to do so

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court recognizes that the electronic filing system automatically generated a response date to the renewed motion of November 10, 2013. *See* Docket No. 643. "The notice automatically generated when a party electronically files a motion is not a court order" and does not alter deadlines set by Court order. *Carrillo v. B&J Enterps., LLC*, 2013 U.S. Dist. Lexis 22010, \*2 (D. Nev. Feb. 19, 2013). Accordingly, Plaintiffs have violated the Court's order requiring a responsive brief be filed no later than October 29, 2013. Nonetheless, the Court will allow a short extension to the deadline. *See id.* at \*3. The Court expects counsel to abide by Court ordered deadlines in the future, however.

1 may result in the Court finding the renewed motion to be unopposed. *See* Local Rule 7-2(d).  
2 Defendant shall file a reply no later than November 6, 2013.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: October 30, 2013

5  
6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 NANCY J. KOPPE  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28